# Independence Concepts in Imprecise Probability Exercises

Fabio G. Cozman - Universidade de Sao Paulo

fgcozman@usp.br

Consider a variable X with 3 possible values  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  and  $x_3$ . Suppose the following assessments are given:

> $p(x_1) \le p(x_2) \le p(x_3);$  $p(x_i) \ge 1/20 \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, 3\};$  $p(x_3 | x_2 \cup x_3) \le 3/4.$

Show the credal set determined by these assessments in baricentric coordinates.

A closed convex credal set is completely characterized by the associated lower expectation.

- But given a lower expectation, many credal sets generate it.
- Usually only the maximal closed convex set is chosen.

Exercise: Given the assessments in the previous exercise, find two credal sets that yield the same lower expectation.

Credal set  $\{P_1, P_2\}$ :  $P_1(s_1) = 1/8$ ,  $P_1(s_2) = 3/4$ ,  $P_1(s_3) = 1/8$ ,  $P_2(s_1) = 3/4$ ,  $P_2(s_2) = 1/8$ ,  $P_2(s_3) = 1/8$ , Acts  $\{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ :

	$s_1$	$s_2$	$s_3$
$a_1$	3	3	4
$a_2$	2.5	3.5	5
$a_3$	1	5	4.

Which one to select? And if we take convex hull of credal set?

- Urn with m > 0 balls, numbered from 1 to m
- r balls are red and m r balls are black.
- *n* samples with replacement.
- $\bullet$  is a numbered sequence produced this way.
- $m^n$  possible numbered sequences.
- Assume uniformity:  $P(\omega) \ge (1 \epsilon)m^{-n}$ .
- What is the lower probability that k balls are red?



What is the largest credal set that satisfies exchangeability of two binary variables?

- Suppose we have 4 binary variables that are exchangeable.
- What are the conditions on the probabilities  $P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, X_3 = x_3, X_4 = x_4)$ ?

- Suppose we have 4 binary variables that are exchangeable.
- **Suppose** P(0000) = 1/10 and P(1111) = 1/2.
- Draw the credal set.

Draw the credal set K(X, Y) given the structural assessments:

- X and Y are exchangeable.
- X and Y are the first two variables in a sequence of three exchangeable variables.
- X and Y are the first two variables in a sequence of five exchangeable variables.
- X and Y are the first two variables in a sequence of infinitely many exchangeable variables.



Prove decomposition, weak union and contraction for stochastic independence.

- Consider a finite possibility space.
- Suppose K(Y) is a singleton.
- Suppose P(X),  $K(X|Y \in B)$  are "almost" vacuous in that  $P(X \in A|\cdot) > 0$  is the only constraint.
- Show that Y is epistemically irrelevant to X, but X is not epistemically irrelevant to Y.
- This is an extreme case of *dilation*!
- Construct an example that is not so extreme but that stills fails symmetry.

Prove:

- Kuznetsov independence implies epistemic independence.
- Epistemic independence does not imply Kuznetsov independence.

Consider

- Two binary variables X and Y.
- $P(X = 0) \in [2/5, 1/2]$  and  $P(Y = 0) \in [2/5, 1/2]$ .
- Epistemic independence of X and Y: K(X, Y) is convex hull of

[1/4, 1/4, 1/4, 1/4], [4/25, 6/25, 6/25, 9/25],

[1/5, 1/5, 3/10, 3/10], [1/5, 3/10, 1/5, 3/10],

[2/9, 2/9, 2/9, 1/3], [2/11, 3/11, 3/11, 3/11],

Write down the linear constraints that must be satisfied by K(X, Y).

Due to de Campos and Moral (1995).

- X and Y are binary.
- K(X,Y) is the convex hull of two distributions  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ such that  $P_1(X = 0, Y = 0) = P_2(X = 1, Y = 1) = 1$ .

Show:

- X and Y are strongly independent.
- Neither Y is type-5 irrelevant to X, nor X is type-5 irrelevant to Y.



Show that strict and strong independence satisfy all graphoid properties.

Show:

- Epistemic independence satisfies decomposition and weak union in finite spaces.
- Epistemic irrelevance satisfies: if Y is epistemically irrelevant to X and W is epistemically irrelevant to X given Y then (W,Y) are epistemically irrelevant to X.
- Kuznetsov independence satisfies decomposition.