



LOGIC IN LINGUISTICS SOME ISSUES IN THE PHILOSOPHY OF LANGUAGE

1 Christian Retoré
(Université de Bordeaux, LABRI-CNRS & INRIA)

CONTENTS

1) CLASSICAL PROOFS AND MODELS

- Basics on first order classical logic and its interpretation
 - Basics of philosophy of language and its relation to logic, following Recanati.
 - Basics of first order classical logic: proofs, models and completeness.
 - A word on Discourse Representation Theory and FOL

CONTENTS

2) REFINEMENTS, TOPOLOGICAL MODELS

- Refinements: possible worlds and topological models
 - Modal and intuitionistic variations: proofs, a word on Kripke semantics.
 - A bit of topology, category theory and categorical logic.
 - Sheaf semantics for intuitionistic logic.
 - Classical and intuitionistic higher order logic
 - Petitot on predicates applied to spatial entities.

CONTENTS

3) PROOFS, LAMBDA TERMS AND COMPOSITIONAL SEMANTICS

- Proofs, lambda terms and compositional semantics
 - Proofs, lambda-terms and the Curry-Howard isomorphism
 - Linear logic and Lambek calculus
 - Categorical grammar and Montague semantics

CONTENTS

4) CATEGORIES AND TYPE THEORY FOR LEXICAL SEMANTICS

- Categories, types and terms for lexical semantics
 - On the semantics of proofs and lambda terms.
 - A concrete example: coherence spaces.
 - Abrusci and Fouqueré on applications of coherence spaces to ontologies.
 - Asher on types systems for lexical semantics in a compositional framework
 - Bassac, Mery and Retoré on the same issue.



SOME QUESTIONS AND DISTINCTIONS

(following remarks by Recanati)

6

QUESTIONNING THE USUAL SETTING(S)

Many of these questions can be traced by to scholastics as in the debate on universals, the debate between nominalism and realism etc.

- Reference to world or to mental representations.
One could says formal semantics is both a relation to world and mental representations.
- Do predicate and properties really exists?
Let us says yes for proof theoretical reasons.
- Individuals (rigid interpretation) characteristic property.
Constants or a Leibniz-style definition.
- Do we really use quantification?
Less often than usually assumed.
Let us first say yes.
- Higher order versus reification.
« Allegedly she often drives a Fiat. » Not so easy to reify...
First order is more standard as far as models are concerned but higher order is more expressive and as sound, with more subtle models.
- Difference between « contents » and « function » (in discourse or dialogue)
« Ce n'est pas grave. » (Non è grava. said by a six year old girl)
Let us first deal with contents.
At the end we'll speak about interaction.
- How are the lexical connections reflected into the logical language?
« Ma voiture est crevée. » « J'ai calé la table avec un magazine idiot. »
This is to be discuted in the framework type theory and categorial logic.